

MOLECULAR DIVERSITY STUDY USING SSAP MARKERS IN PIGEONPEA (*CAJANUS CAJAN* L. MILLSP.)

PRAKASH G. PATIL^{1,2*}, M. BYRE GOWDA¹, IKECHUKWU O. AGBAGWA³, KHELA RAM SOREN², R. RAMACHANDRA¹ AND H. E. SHASHIDHAR¹,

¹Department of Plant Biotechnology University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore - 560 065, INDIA ²Division of Plant Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur - 208 024, INDIA ³Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, NIGERIA e-mail: patilbt@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Genetic diversity Pigeonpea SSAP markers

Received on : 05.04.2015

Accepted on : 18.10.2015

*Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

ABSTRACT

Pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan L. Millsp.) is a most widely adapted

food legume crops, cultivated throughout the semi-arid tropics

and sub-tropics. India accounts for 90 per cent of the global

production with an area 3.86 million hectare and production

of 2.65 million tonnes (FAOSTAT, 2012). In spite of larger

area under pigeonpea in India, the expected yield levels

witnessed was very low due to various biotic and abiotic

constraints. Wild relatives of pigeonpea are considered to be

rich reservoir of genes for resistance to biotic and abiotic

stresses (Sharma et al., 2003). Introgression of these genes

may be one of option in improving the yield, increasing

production levels in cultivated pigeonpea (Singh, 2005).

polymorphism (SSAP) have been found extremely informative

in many crops e.g. rye (Brbgoszewska et al., 2012), faba bean (Quji et al., 2012) and pea (Hamid et al., 2012). Similarly, in

In the present study, based on *in silico* homology search SSAP markers were developed from one of the active *Cajanus cajan* retrotransposon element (*CcRT8*) and employed for molecular diversity study among 30 pigeonpea genotypes belonging to five different gene pools. Total four primer combinations were screened, which produced 156 bands of which 149 (95.50%) bands were polymorphic with an average of 37.2 polymorphic bands per primer combination. The average polymorphism information content (PIC) 0.26 and gene diversity (H[^]) 0.32 were found higher for primer combinations screened. These results indicated *CcRT8* element showed higher insertional polymorphism in the pigeonpea genome. The Jaccard coefficients ranged from 0.16 to 0.96 suggesting a broad genetic base across the gene pools. However, within cultivars coefficients ranged from 0.69-0.96 revealing a narrow genetic base and these results confirmed earlier findings. Cluster analysis showed grouping of genotypes mainly on the basis of gene pools used. The present study suggested two genetically distant genotypes BRG 3 and ICP 15701, which could be potential donors for resistance breeding and for introgressing of novel genes into pigeonpea cultivars. Here, we have successfully demonstrated *in silico* search based development of SSAP markers for studying molecular diversity among pigeonpea genotypes.

pigeonpea various marker techniques have been used and SSAP was found more informative for phylogenetic analysis (Patil et *al.*, 2012).

SSAP technique employs a primer which is specific to the long terminal repeat (LTR) region of particular retrotransposon in combination with selective AFLP primer during second round of selective amplification (Waugh *et al.*, 1997). LTRretrotransposons are broadly divided into the *Ty1-copia* and *Ty3-gypsy* groups. These are responsible for the vast differences in genome size and genome arrangements in various plant species (Bennetzen, 2000). The retrotransposon insertions are irreversible, high in copy numbers, well distributed throughout the genome and changes remain relatively fixed making them suitable candidates for analyzing genetic relationships.

Previously various PCR based strategies were employed for identifying novel retrotransposon sequences in un-sequenced plant genomes for development of SSAP markers (Pearce et *al.*, 1999). But, availability of whole genome sequence of a given crops in addition to well characterized retro elements from other related crops. *In silico* homology sequence search became an easy and efficient tool for identifying and characterizing novel retro elements for marker development. Zhao et *al.* (2009) used a similar approach (*in silico* homology searching) to identify novel retrotransposons in the *Botrytis cinerea* genome.

Recent advances made through sequencing of pigeonpea

Therefore, knowledge about genetic diversity in germplasm is
very useful for plant breeders. It supports their decision on the
selection of cross combinations from large sets of parental
genetic basis
of a breeding program (Ganapathy et al., 2011).relati
gene
Prev
ident
plant
al., 1In order to study molecular diversity, various marker systems
have been used in many plant species viz., STMS in chickpea
(Bharadwaj et al., 2010), SSAP, AFLP and SSR in durum wheat
(Mardi et al., 2011), RAPD in chilli (Bahurupe et al., 2013),
and ISSR in chickpea (Pandey et al., 2014). Among these
techniques, Ty1-copia based sequence specific amplificationrelati
gene
gene
Prev
ident
plant
al., 1

whole genome resulted in a tremendous increase in genomic resources (Singh *et al.*, 2012; Varshney *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, in the present study we have demonstrated *in silico* homology searching based development of SSAP marker from one of the active pigeonpea *Ty1-copia* (*CcRT8*) element identified in the pigeonpea genome. Discussed their efficiency in revealing molecular diversity and relationships among 30 pigeonpea genotypes belonging to five different gene pools. The information generated will be helpful in selecting parents for introgressing useful genes into pigeonpea cultivars.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Identification of novel LTR-retrotransposon

In silico homology sequence search was performed using BLASTn algorithm against pigeonpea whole genome shotgun sequences as per the method reported by Zhao *et al.* (2009) in *Botrytis cinera. Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek (GenBank Acc No AY684686.1), VRC-91 *copia* reverse transcriptase partial gene sequence was used as query sequence. Similar to the criteria as used to isolate paralogus copies of *copia* elements in grape vine genome (Moisy *et al.*, 2008). The pigeonpea contigs showing at least 80% query coverage and >70 % similarity with query were searched for presence of full length LTR-RT using LTR-FINDER *ver* 1.02 (Zhao and Wang, 2007).

Plant material and DNA extarction

The experimental material comprised of 30 pigeonpea genotypes belonging to five different gene pools viz., primary gene pool (*Cajanus cajan*), secondary gene pool (*C. scarabaeiodes* and *C. albicans*), tertiary gene pool (*C. platycarpus*), quaternary gene pool (*Rhyncosia rothi* and *R. bracteata*) and other (*Flemingia macrophylla*) (Table 1). Genomic DNA were extracted from the fresh leaves of 15 days old seedlings using a CTAB protocol (Agbagwa et al., 2012).

SSAP amplification

The sequence information on 5' LTR of newly identified pigeonpea *CcRT8 copia* element was used for designing LTR specific primer (Table 2). SSAP amplifications were carried out as per the protocol described in pigeonpea (Patil *et al.*, 2012). The PCR amplification products were denatured and separated on 6% polyacrylamide gels. The bands were finally resolved using fast silver staining method as described by Benbouza *et al.* (2006).

Statistical analyses

The bands were scored as presence (1) or absence (0) for each SSAP primer profile. The pooled marker data so obtained were analyzed to generate pairwise Jaccard similarity coefficients (Jaccard, 1908) using NTSYS-pc ver 2.0. Similarity matrices thus generated were utilized to construct UPGMA dendrogram. The robustness of each dendrogram was evaluated by bootstrap analysis with 1000 times repeated sampling using WINBOOT (Yap and Nelson, 1996). PIC and average gene diversity (H^{-}) of a given primer combinations were calculated using POWERMARKER program ver 3.0 (Liu and Muse, 2005).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The present study was carried out in order to develop and

utilize the SSAP markers based on in silico analysis in pigeonpea. Since, mung bean (Vigna radiata L. Wilczek) is phylogenetically more close to pigeonpea as a warm season legumes. Using mung bean VRC-91 copia reverse transcriptase partial gene sequence as a query, three full length pigeonpea copia elements CcRT6, CcRT7 and CcRT8 were identified in the pigeonpea genome. The structural characteristics of these elements were shown in table 3. Zhao et al. (2009) similarly identified novel retrotransposons in Botrytis cinerea based on in silico homology search. Contrast to this, Pearce et al. (1999) reported polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based method to identify Ty1 copia-LTR elements from un-sequenced genome of higher plants, and isolated novel LTR sequences from pea, broad bean, and norway spruce. Out of three *copia* elements identified in this study, only CcRT8 showed perfect target site duplication (TTCT) near insertions with highest LTR sequence identity (98.9%) for 5' and 3' ends indicating the most active element. Moisy et al. (2008) also reported identification of active Ty-1 copia

elements in the grapevine genome based on target site duplication and LTR sequence identity.

Results from *in silico* homology search revealed pigeonpea *CcRT8* is the most active *Ty1-copia* element. This element may have contributed for higher sequence variation for pigeonpea genome. LTR sequence information of this element was further selected for development of SSAP markers. Moisy *et al.* (2008) observed SSAP insertion patterns of 8 active retrotransposon families on 10 *Vitis* accessions and found most of the scored bands are polymorphic, indicating these families have been active after speciation across the genus. Similarly, Brbgoszewska *et al.* (2012) developed SSAP markers based on novel *Ty1-copia* like element and used for genetic diversity study in rye (*Secale cereale* L.) inbred lines.

For SSAP analysis, total four primer combinations were



Figure 1: SSAP amplification profiles for 30 pigeonpea genotypes on 6% polyacrylamide gels using primer combination CcRT8-LTR/ EcoRI+CAG. (Where, Lanes: M = 100 bp ladder; 1-30 pigeonpea genotypes as mentioned in Table 1)

Sl. No	Pigeonpea Genotype	Species	Source
1	BRG 3	C. cajan	Karnataka, India
2	ICP 8863	C. cajan	Maharashtra, India
3	ICP 7035	C. cajan	ICRISAT, AP, India
4	TTB 7	C. cajan	Karnataka, India
5	ICP 15770	C. albicans	India
6	ICP 15853	R. rothi.	ICRISAT, AP, India
7	BDNP 3	R. rothi	Maharashtra, India
8	ICP 817	R. bracteata	ICRISAT, AP, India
9	ICP 15890	R. rothi	ICRISAT, AP, India
10	BNG 1	C. scarabaeoides	Karnataka, India
11	ICP 15815	R.bracteata	ICRISAT, AP, India
12	BDNP 4	C. albicans	Maharashtra, India
13	HY 3C	C. cajan	Andra Pradesh, India
14	BRG 1	C. cajan	Karnataka, India
15	GRG 333	C. cajan	Karnataka, India
16	GT 101	C. cajan	Gujarat, India
17	BSMR 736	C. cajan	Maharashtra, India
18	WRP 1	C. cajan	Karnataka, India
19	IPA 8F	C. cajan	Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh
20	BRG 2	C. cajan	Karnataka, India
21	ICPL 87119	C. cajan	ICRISAT, AP, India
22	JKM 189	C. cajan	Madhya Pradesh, India
23	ICP 2376	C. cajan	ICRISAT, AP, India
24	GRG 811	C. cajan	Karnataka, India
25	TS3R	C. cajan	Karnataka, India
26	ICP 15701	C. scarabaeoides	ICRISAT, AP, India
27	ICP 15667	C. platycarpus	ICRISAT, AP, India
28	ICPW 71	C. platycarpus	Himachal Pradesh, India
29	ICPW 61	C. platycarpus	Uttar Pradesh, India
30	ICP 15799	F. macrophylla	ICRISAT, AP, India

Table 1: Details of 30 pigeonpea genotypes used for genetic diversity study

Note* C- Cajanus; R-Rhyncosia; F-Flamingia; AP-Andra Pradesh

Туре	Primer/adapter name	Sequence							
SSAP primer	CcRT8-LTR	5'-GTGCTGGTGGCCTTTTCTCC-3'							
SSAP adapters	Double stranded Msel adapters	5'-GACGATGAGTCCTGAG-3'							
		5'-TACTCAGGACTCAT-3'							
	Double stranded EcoRI adapters	5'-CTCGTAGACTGCGTAC-3'							
		5'-AATTGTACGCAGTC -3'							
Primers used for pre-amplification	E+C (EcoRI adapter specific primer)	5'-GACTGCGTACAATTCC-3'							
	M+G (Msel adapter specific primer)	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAG-3'							
Primers used for selective amplification	EcoRI + CAG	5'-GACTGCGTACAATTCCAG-3'							
	EcoRI + CAT	5'-GACTGCGTACAATTCCAT-3'							
	Msel +GCC	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAGCC-3'							
	Msel +GTG	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGTAAGTG-3'							

Table 3: Structural features for homologous Ty1-copia elements identified in the pigeonpea genome

Query sequence used	Pigeonpea contigs with RT	Name of RT	Size of RT(bp)	Length 5'-LTR/3'-LTR (bp)	TSD 5'-3'	LTR identity (%)
Vigna radiata	AFSP01000619.1	CcRT6	2007	108/112	-	93.8
(AY684686.1)	AFSP01034138.1	CcRT7	5245	496/499	-	90.2
	AFSP01018343.1	CcRT8	4965	174/175	TTCT	98.9

Note* RT- Retrotransposons; LTR- Long terminal repeat; TSD-Target site duplication; bp- base pairs.

screened on 30 pigeonpea genotypes (Fig 1). A total of 156 bands were scored, out of which 149 (95.5%) bands were polymorphic (Table 4). The number of scorable bands produced by each primer combinations ranged from 33 to 45, with an average of 39 bands per primer combination. The percent of polymorphism was ranged from 93.3% to 97.6%, with an average of 95.5% per primer combination. Two SSAP primers *CcRT8*-LTR/*Eco*RI+CAG and *CcRT8*-LTR/*Eco*RI+CAT

produced the highest numbers of bands (45 and 42, respectively), followed by *CcRT8*-LTR/*Ms*el+GCC and *CcRT8*-LTR/*Ms*el+GTG (36 and 33 bands, respectively). Overall, higher polymorphism rate 95.5% was observed for SSAP primers screened. Similarly, Patil et *al.* (2012) reported 90.19% polymorphism for SSAP markers developed in pigeonpea based on *Panzee* retrotransposon. The higher polymorphism

Sl. No	Primer combinations	TNB	NPB	% P	PIC	Average genediversity (H^)
1	CcRT8-LTR/EcoRI + CAG	45	42	93.3	0.23	0.29
2	CcRT8-LTR/ EcoRI +CAT	42	41	97.6	0.26	0.32
3	CcRT8-LTR/ Msel + GCC	36	35	97.2	0.26	0.33
4	CcRT8-LTR/ Msel +GTG	33	31	93.9	0.28	0.35
	Total	156	149	-	-	0.29
	Minimum	33	31	93.3	0.23	0.29
	Maximum	45	42	97.6	0.28	0.35
	Average	39	37.2	95.5	0.26	0.32

Table 4: Degree of polymorphism and informativeness as revealed by SSAP primer combinations

Note* TNB-Total number of bands produced; NPB- Number of polymorphic bands: % P- Percent polymorphism; PIC-Polymorphism information content



Figure 2: Dendrogram showing clustering pattern for 30 pigeonpea genotypes based on SSAP data (Where, G1-genotypes belongs to primary gene pool; G2-secondary gene pool; G3-tertiary gene pool; G4 quaternary gene pool and G5- others)

rate observed in our study confirmed the active role of *CcRT8* in pigeonpea genome variations.

The PIC values ranged from 0.23 (*CcRT8*-LTR/*Eco*RI + CAG) to 0.28 (*CcRT8*-LTR/*Mse*I + GTG), with an average of 0.26 per primer combination. These results were supported by average PIC of 0.21 as observed for SSAP markers in pigeonpea (Patil et al., 2012). The average gene diversity of a given primer combination (*H*[^]) was ranged from 0.29 (*CcRT8*-LTR/*Eco*RI + CAG) to 0.35 (*CcRT8*-LTR/*Mse*I + GTG), with a mean of 0.32 per primer combination. These results suggested SSAP is a best marker of choice for genetic diversity studies. Using small number of SSAP primers, it is possible to generate abundant genetic information with sufficient precision and at reasonable cost analysis (Ouji et al., 2012).

The cluster analysis based on SSAP markers depicted a well resolved relationships among 30 pigeonpea genotypes with high bootstrap values ranging from 43.3% to 100% (Fig. 2). Similarly, grouping of 21 pigeonpea genotypes with higher bootstrap support (42% to 100%) were noticed using SSAP markers (Patil *et al.*, 2012). SSAP analysis is not only useful in

differentiating genotypes, but also attaches bootstrap confidence values to the branching patterns (Bousios et al., 2007). In the dendrogram, two major clusters were observed CL-I and CL-II. CL-I constituted all the genotypes belonging to quaternary gene pool (R. rothi and R. bracteata). CL-II further divided into three sub-clusters (SCL-1, 2 & 3). SCL-1 comprised all the genotypes belongs to tertiary gene pool (C. platycarpus) with one exception (C. scarabaeoides). SCL-2 included all the genotypes of secondary gene pool (C. scarabaeiodes and C. albicans) with one exception (F. macrophylla). SCL-3 constituted all the genotypes of primary gene pool (C. cajan). In the dendrogram, all pigeonpea cultivars were distinguished from the wild genotypes as a separate sub-cluster. The grouping of genotypes observed was mainly on the basis of gene pools used. These results indicated common CcRT8 insertions regions shared by members of each gene pools.

The overall genetic distances in terms of Jcccard similarity coefficients ranged from 0.16 to 0.96 (Suppl Table I), revealing a broad genetic base across 30 genotypes. Patil *et al.* (2014) also reported broad genetic base across 22 pigeonpea

	30																														1.00
	29																													1.00	0.40
	28																												1.00	0.97	0.40
	27																											1.00	0.87	0.88	0.34
	26																										1.00	0.86	0.90	0.90	036
	25																									1.00	0.29	0.24	0.28	0.28	0.36
	24																								1.00	0.89	0.31	0.24	0.29	0.30	0.35
	23																						~	5 1.00	5 0.88	3 0.96	0.30	4 0.26	3 0.28	3 0.29	2 0.35
	22																					_	1.00	0.86	0.0(0.8	0.29	0.7	0.28	0.28	0.32
	21																				~	7 1.00	8 0.85	6 0.93	2 0.85	4 0.92	0 0.30	5 0.25	9 0.25	9 0.25	4 0.35
	20																			0	7 100	4 0.9	3 0.8	3 0.9	2 0.9	2 0.9	0.3	5 0.2	0.2	9 0.2	4 0.3
	19																		00	96 1.0	96 0.9	93 0.9	39 0.8	95 0.9	0.0	96 0.9	31 0.3	25 0.2	30 0.3	30 0.2	35 0.3
	18																	0	7 1.0	5 ^{.0} 6	6 0.9	3 0.5	3.0 6	2 0.9	3 0.9	3 0.9	1 0.3	9.7	8 0.3	0.0	5 0.3
	5 17																8	93 1.C	90 0.5	92 0.5	92 0.5	93 0.5	3.0 0.6	88 0.5	94 0.5	89 0.5	30 0.3	25 0.2	27 0.2	29 0.3	35 0.3
	16															00	94 1.	90 0.	90 0.	89 0.	86 0.	89 0.	87 0.	85 0.	89 0.	89 0.	29 0.	24 0.	27 0.	28 0.	36 0.
	1														00	83 1.	34 0.	0.0	0.0	92 0.	92 0.	84 0.	87 0.	38 0.	84 0.	36 0.	29 0.	24 0.	27 0.	28 0.	37 0.
_	14													00	31 1.0	36 0.4	36 0.4	33 0.9	30	31 0.9	31 0.9	.0 10	r0 62	78 0.1	34 0.1	31 0.4	29 0.7	24 0.	35 0.	28 0.	36 0.
er datë	13												C	5 1.0	0.0	4 0.8	7 0.8	3.0.8	3 0.8	Z 0.8	9.0	3 0.7		3 0.7	5 0.8	9.0	0.0	2 0.1	و 0	0.0	6.0
marke	12												1.0	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3
SSAP	11											1.00	0.23	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.32	0.18	0.16
uo p	10										1.00	0.24	0.44	0.39	0.38	0.38	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.37	0.35	0.38	0.35	0.37	0.31	0.28	0.27	0.31	0.44
d base	6									1.00	0.25	0.20	0.22	0.24	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.27	0.28	0.19	0.28	0.30
otaine	8								1.00	0.27	0.28	0.79	0.23	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.17	0.28	0.19	0.19
x as ol	7							1.00	0.32	0.81	0.29	0.25	0.25	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.31	0.29	0.30
matri	9						1.00	0.80	0.29	0.71	0.26	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.30	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.30
larity	5					1.00	0.21	0.23	0.27	0.23	0.58	0.24	0.49	0.40	0.42	0.39	0.41	0.43	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.43	0.38	0.43	0.40	0.42	0.32	0.31	0.34	0.33	0.40
d simi	4				1.00	0.47	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.44	0.24	0.38	0.70	0.76	0.72	0.74	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.79	0.76	0.71	078	0.74	0.75	0.35	0.29	0.32	0.34	0.41
acccar	3			1.00	0.92	0.47	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.42	0.23	0.36	0.71	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.81	0.79	0.82	0.80	0.77	0.74	0.81	0.77	0.78	0.33	0.28	0.35	0.32	0.40
ble I: J	2		1.00	0.87	0.91	0.44	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.43	0.22	0.36	0.70	0.74	072	0.74	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.71	0.78	0.74	0.75	0.36	0.30	0.35	0.35	0.41
tary Ta	-	1.00	0.94	0.89	0.88	0.45	0.24	0.26	0.23	0.23	0.43	0.21	0.36	0.70	0.72	0.70	0.72	0.75	0.75	0.76	0.76	076	0.69	0.77	0.72	0.74	0.35	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42
Supplement		BRG	ICP8863	ICP 7035	TTB 7	ICP 15770	ICP15853	BDNP 3	ICP 817	ICP 1 5890	BNG 1	ICP 15815	BDNP 4	HY 3C	BRG 1	GRG 333	GT 101	BSMR 736	WRP 1	IPA 8F	BRG 2	ICPL 87119	JKM 189	ICP 2376	GRG 811	TS 3R	ICP 15701	ICP 15667	ICPW 71	ICPW 61	ICP 15799

genotypes using AFLP-RGA markers. The high polymorphism noticed may be due to unique insertions of *CcRT8 copia* elements across wild genotypes. But, within cultivars similarity values ranged from 0.69-0.96 indicating a narrow genetic base (27% variation), may be due to sharing of many common insertions. It was also reflected from dendrogram that all cultivars are grouped at 0.74 similarity. Results were in agreement with narrow genetic base as reported within pigeonpea cultivars compared to wild accessions using RFLP (Nadimpalli *et al.*, 1992), RAPD (Ratnaparkhe *et al.*, 1995), AFLP (Punguluri *et al.*, 2006), DArT (Yang *et al.*, 2006) and SSR (Datta *et al.*, 2013).

Based on estimated genetic similarity matrix within primary gene pool, lower similarity (0.69) was observed between cultivars BRG 3 and JKM 189 indicating genetically diverse parents. The higher similarity (0.99) was observed between IPA 8F and BSMR 736 indicating genetically similar parents. BRG 3 is known to be sterility mosaic disease resistant genotype and can be used as a best donor parents for SMD resistance beeding in pigeonpea. Since, the genotypes of secondary gene pools can be easily crossed with primary gene pool. The lower similarity values (0.29-0.31) observed between ICP 15701 (C. was scarabaeoides) and 13 cultivars (HY 3C, BRG1, GRG 333, GT 101, BSMR 736, WRP 1, IPA 8F, BRG 2, ICPL 87119, JKM 189, ICP 2376, GRG 811 and TS 3R). Therefore, ICP 15701 can be used as best donor parents to broaden genetic base and to introgress useful genes into cultivars.

In summary, we have successfully demonstrated in silico search based development of SSAP markers in pigeonpea. This strategy has greater potential in increasing the number of markers for linkage analysis in pigeonpea. SSAP could be one of the best marker of choice for pigeonpea crop improvement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors would like acknowledge the University of Agricultural Sciences (UAS), GKVK, Bangalore, India and to Dr. Vijay Kumar Swamy, the Head of Department, Biotechnology for providing all the logistical support to carry out this research work.

REFERENCES

Agbagwa, I. O., Datta, S., Patil, P. G., Singh, P. and Nadarajan, N. 2012. A protocol for high-quality genomic DNA extraction from legumes. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 11: 4632-4639.

Bahurupe, J. V., Sakhare, S. B., Kulwal, P. L., Akhare, A. A. and Pawar, B. D. 2013. Genetic diversity analysis in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) using RAPD markers. *The Bioscan.* 8(3): 915-918.

Benbouza, H., Jacquemin, J. M., Baudoin, J. P. and

Mergeai, G. 2006. Optimization of a reliable, fast, cheap and sensitive silver staining method to detect SSR markers in polyacrylamide gels. *Biotechnol. Agron. Soc.* **10**: 77-81.

Bennetzen, J. L. 2000. Transposable element contributions to plant gene and genome evolution. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 42: 251-269.

Bharadwaj, C., Chauhan, S. K., Rajguru, G., Srivastava, R., Satyavathi, C. T., Yadav, S., Rizvi, A. H., Kumar, J. and Solanki, R. K. 2010. Diversity analysis of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) cultivars using STMS markers. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.* 80: 947-951.

Bousios, A., Oyarzabal, I. S., Ana, G., Zapata, V., Wood, C. and Pearce, S. R. 2007. Isolation and characterization of *Ty1*-copia retrotransposon sequences in the blue agave (*Agave tequilana* Weber var. azul) and their development as SSAP markers for phylogenetic analysis. *Plant Sci.* **172**: 291-298.

Brbgoszewska, H. B., Zabierzewska, N., Hromada-Judycka, A. and Krzewska, L. 2012. SSAP markers based on a novel *Ty1*-copia like element are a powerful tool for the assessment of genetic diversity in rye (*Secale cereale* L.) inbred lines. *Cereal Res. Commun.* **40(2)**: 204-209.

Datta, S., Singh, P., Mahfooz, S., Patil, P. G., Chaudhary, A. K., Agbagwa, I. O. and Nadarajan, N. 2013. Novel geneic microsattellite markers from *Cajanus scarabaeoides* and their comparative efficiency in revealing genetic diversity in pigeonpea. *J. Genet.* **92:** 24-30.

FAOSTAT 2012. Food and agriculture organization of United Nations: http:// faostat. fao.org.

Ganapathy, K. N., Gnanesh, B. N., Byre Gowda, M., Venkatesha, S. C., Gomashe, S. S. and Channamallikarjuna, V. 2011. AFLP analysis in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) revealed close relationship of cultivated genotypes with some of its wild relatives. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* 58: 837-847.

Hamid, M., Waseem, S., Barkat, A., Ashiq, M., Ijaz, A. and Abdul, S. M. 2012. Genetic assessment of the genus *Pisum* L. based on sequence specific amplification polymorphism data. *J. Med. Plants Res.* 6: 959-967.

Jaccard, P. 1908. Nouvelles recherches sur la distribu-tion florale. *Bull. Soc. Vaudoise Sci. Nat.* 44: 223-270.

Liu, K. and Muse, S. V. 2005. POWERMARKER: an integrated analysis environment for genetic marker data. *Bioinformatics*. 21: 2128-2129.

Mardi, M., Naghavi, M. R., Pirseyedi, S. M., Alamooti, M. K., Monfared, S. R., Ahkami, A. H., Omidbakhsh, M. A., Alavi, N. S., Shanjani, P. S. and Katsiotis, A. 2011. Comparative assessment of SSAP, AFLP and SSR markers for evaluation of genetic diversity of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. durum). *J. Agri. Sci. Technol.* 13: 905-920.

Moisy, C., Garrison, K. E., Meredith, C. P. and Pelsy, F. 2008. Characterization of ten novel *Ty1/copia*-like retrotransposon families of the grapevine genome. *BMC Genomics*. **9:** 469.

Nadimpalli, B. G., Jarret, R. L., Pathak, S. C. and Kochert, G. 1992. Phylogenetic relationships of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) based on nuclear restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Genome*. **36**: 216-223.

Ouji, A., Bok, E. S., Naeem, Syed, N. H., Abdellaoui, R., Rouaissi, M., Flavell, A. J. and Gazzah, M. E. 2012. Genetic diversity of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) populations revealed by sequence specific amplified polymorphism (SSAP) markers. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **11**: 2162-2168.

Pandey, D., Barh, A., Joshi, M., Baliyan, A. and Pankaj 2014. ISSR marker in accessing genetic diversity in chickpea genotypes. *The Bioscan.* 9(4): 1707-1710.

Patil, P. G., Datta, S., Agbagwa, I. O., Singh, I. P., Soren, K. R., Das, A., Choudhary, A. K. and Chaturvedi, S. K. 2014. Using AFLP-RGA markers to assess genetic diversity among pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) genotypes in relation to major diseases. *Acta Bot. Brasilica.* 28: 198-205.

Patil, P., Datta, S., Singh, I. P., Das, A., Soren, K. R., Kaashyap, M., Choudhary, A. K., Chaturvedi, S. K. and Nadarajan, N. 2012. Phylogenetic analysis of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) genotypes using *Panzee* retrotransposon based SSAP markers. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.* 82: 1016-1021.

Pearce, S., Rogers, S. C., Knox, M., Kumar, A., Ellis, T. H. N. and Flavell, A. J. 1999. Rapid isolation of plant *Ty1*-copia group retrotransposon LTR sequences for molecular marker studies. *Plant J.* 19: 711-717.

Punguluri, S. K., Janaiah, K., Govil, J. N., Kumar, P. A. and Sharma, P. C. 2006. AFLP fingerprinting in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp) and its wild relatives. *Genet. Resou. Crop Evol.* 53: 423-531.

Ratnaparkhe, M. B., Gupta, V. S., Ven-Murthy, M. R. and Ranjekar, P. K. 1995. Genetic fingerprinting of pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] and its wild relatives using RAPD markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 91: 893-898.

Sharma, H. C., Pampathy, G. and Reddy, L. J. 2003. Wild relatives of pigeonpea as a source of resistance to the pod fly (*Melanogromyza obtuse* Malloch) and pod wasp (*Tanaostigmodes cajaninae* La Salle). Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. 50: 817-824.

Singh, N. 2005. Management of pigeonpea genetic resources. In: Advances in pigeonpea research, Ali, M. and Kumar, S. (Eds). *Indian Institute of Pulses Research*, Kanpur. pp. 23-38.

Singh, N. K., Gupta, D. K., Jayaswal, P. K., Mahato, A. K., Dutta, S., Singh, S., Bhutani, S., Dogra, V., Singh, B. P., Kumawat, G., Pal, J. K., Pandit, A., Singh, A., Rawal, H., Kumar, A., Prashat, G. R., Khare, A., Yadav, R., Raje, R. S., Singh, M. N., Datta, S., Fakrudin, B., Wanjari, K. B., Kansal, R., Dash, P. K., Jain, P. K., Bhattacharya, R., Gaikwad, K., Mohapatra, T., Srinivasan, R. and Sharma. T. R. 2012. The first draft of the pigeonpea genome sequence. J. Plant Biochem. Biotechnol. 21: 98-112.

Varshney, R. K., Chen, W., Li, Y., Bharti, A. K., Saxena, R. K., Schlueter, J. A., Donoghue, M.T.A., Azam, S., Fan, G., Whaley, A. M., Farmer, A. D., Sheridan, J., Iwata, A., Tuteja, R., Penmetsa, R.V., Wu, W., Upadhyaya, H. D., Yang, S. P., Shah, T., Saxena, K. B., Michael, T., McCombie, W. R., Yang, B., Zhang, G., Yang, H., Wang, J., Spillane, C., Cook, D. R., May, G. D., Xu, X. and Jackson, S. A. 2012. Draft genome sequence of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*), an orphan legume crop of resource-poor farmers. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 30: 83-89.

Waugh, R., McLean, K., Flavell, A. J., Pearce, S. R., Kumar, A., Thomas, B. B. and Powell, W. 1997. Genetic distribution of *BARE*-1 like retrotransposable elements in the barley genome revealed by sequence specifc amplifcation polymorphisms (SSAP). *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 253: 687-694.

Yang, S., Pang, W., Ash, G., Harper, J., Carling, J., Wenzl, P., Huttner, E., Zong, X. and Kilian, A. 2006. Low level of genetic diversity in cultivated pigeonpea compared to its wild relatives is revealed by diversity array technology. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **113**: 585-595.

Yap, V. and Nelson, R. J. 1996. WINBOOT: a program for performing bootstrap analysis of binary data to determine the confidence limits of UPGMA-based dendrograms. *International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines*.

Zhao, M., Zhou, J. Y., Li, Z. D., Song, W. W., Tan, Y. J. and Tan, H. 2009. *Boty-II*, a novel LTR retrotransposon in *Botrytis cinerea* B05.10 revealed by genomic sequence. *Elec. J. Biotech*. DOI: 10.2225/vol12-issue3-fulltext-5.

Zhao, X. and Wang, H. 2007. LTR_FINDER: an efficient tool for the prediction of full-length LTR retrotransposons. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35: 265-268.